

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/683,794	02/15/2002		Takenori Kohda	JP920000363US1	2202
David Aker	7590 02/05/200		•	EXAMINER	
23 Southern Ro				BASEHOAR, ADAM L	
Hartsdale, NY	10530			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2178	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
				02/05/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
		09/683,794	KOHDA ET AL.
Office Act	ion Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Adam L. Basehoar	2178
The MAILING Deriod for Reply	OATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STA WHICHEVER IS LON Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from If NO period for reply is spec Failure to reply within the se	GER, FROM THE MAILING vailable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 the mailing date of this communication. ified above, the maximum statutory period or extended period for reply will, by statutice later than three months after the mail	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to o	ommunication(s) filed on 05	November 2007.	
2a) This action is FI		nis action is non-final.	
3) Since this applic	ation is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accord	lance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims			·
4a) Of the above 5) Claim(s) 6) Claim(s) 7) Claim(s)	is/are rejected.	awn from consideration.	juirement.
Application Papers			
9) The specification	is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
	led on is/are: a)☐ ac	•	
	request that any objection to the		· ·
	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119		
12) Acknowledgmen a) All b) Son 1. Certified of 2. Certified of 3. Copies of application	t is made of a claim for foreigne * c) None of: opies of the priority documer opies of the priority documer	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Apporting documents have been and (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)			. '
Attachment(s) 1) Π Notice of References Cite	d (PTO-892)	4) Interview So	ummary (PTO-413)
_	atent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s))/Mail Date formal Patent Application

09/683,794 Art Unit: 2178

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-5, 9-14, 19-20, 23-24, 28, and 30-33, drawn to document text and image summarization, classified in class 715, subclass 256.
 - II. Claims 6-8, 15-18, 21-22, 29, and 35, drawn to document layout area designation, classified in class 715, subclass 246.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as document sentence summarization. Invention II has separate utility such as specifying/allocating a geometric subset of a document presentation surface for display elements of different importance levels. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the

Application/Control Number:

09/683,794

Art Unit: 2178

present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Additionally, because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. The Examiner notes that while a restriction requirement will normally be made before any action upon the merits; however, it may be made at any time before final action. In the instant application, the claim amendments are the source of the divergent subject matter.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the

09/683,794

Art Unit: 2178

inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adam L. Basehoar whose telephone number is (571)-272-4121. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:00am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve Hong can be reached on (571) 272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adam L. Basehoar

02/01/08